CSP Exercise 01 Solution

Eugen Sawin

April 27, 2012

Exercise 1.1

I have applied following strategies to solve the puzzle:

- look for most contrained blocks, rows and columns
- look for values with most resolved positions
- annotate cells with consistent values
- look for inconsistent connections between cell annotations

Exercise 1.2

(a) $R_{x,y} \bowtie S_{y,z} = \{(a, b, a), (a, b, c)\}\$

(b) $\sigma_{z=c}(R_{x,y} \bowtie S_{y,z}) = \{(a, b, c)\}\$

(c)
$$
\pi_x(R_{x,y}) = \{(a)\}\
$$

(d) $R_{x,y} \circ S_{y,z} = \{(a, a), (a, c)\}\$

Exercise 1.3

(a) By definition holds

$$
R \circ (S \cup T) = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in (S \cup T)\}
$$
 (1)

$$
R \circ S = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}
$$
 (2)

$$
R \circ T = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in T\}
$$
\n(3)

The union of (2) and (3) gives us the set of all $(x, y) \in R$ with either $(y, z) \in S$ or $(y, z) \in T$, from which follows that $(y, z) \in (S \cup T)$, making it equal to (1). \Box

$$
-R = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (x, y) \notin R\}
$$
\n(1)

$$
(-R)^{-1} = \{(y, x) \in X^2 \mid (x, y) \in -R\}
$$
 (2)

$$
-(R^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (x, y) \notin R^{-1}\}\tag{3}
$$

$$
-(R^{-1}) = \{(x, y) \in X^2 \mid (y, x) \notin R\}
$$
\n(4)

We've obtained (4) by applying the converse definition on (3). From the definition of $-R(1)$ follows that $(x, y) \in -R$ iff $(x, y) \notin R$, and therefore we see that (2) and (4) define equal sets. \Box

(c) By definition holds

$$
(R \circ S)^{-1} = \{(z, x) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}
$$
 (1)

$$
S^{-1} \circ R^{-1} = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in S^{-1} \text{ and } (y, z) \in R^{-1}\}\
$$
 (2)

$$
S^{-1} \circ R^{-1} = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (y, x) \in S \text{ and } (z, y) \in R\}
$$
\n(3)

We've obtained (3) by applying the converse definition on (2). After some variable juggling we see that (1) and (3) define equal sets. \Box

(d) By definition holds

$$
R \circ S = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}
$$
\n(1)

$$
R \circ S = \{(x, z) \in X \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S\}
$$
\n
$$
(R \circ S) \cap T^{-1} = (R \circ S) \cap \{(y, x) \in X^2 \mid (x, y) \in T\}
$$
\n
$$
(R \circ S) \cap T^{-1} = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S \text{ and } (z, x) \in T\}
$$
\n(3)

$$
(R \circ S) \cap T^{-1} = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in R \text{ and } (y, z) \in S \text{ and } (z, x) \in T\}
$$
 (3)

$$
(S \circ T) \cap R^{-1} = \{(x, z) \in X^2 \mid \exists y \in X : (x, y) \in S \text{ and } (y, z) \in T \text{ and } (z, x) \in R\}
$$
 (4)

We've obtained (3) by directly applying intersection within the set comprehension of (2). It follows that

$$
(R \circ S) \cap T^{-1} = \emptyset \iff \forall x, y, z \in X : (x, y) \notin R \text{ or } (y, z) \notin S \text{ or } (z, x) \notin T
$$
 (5)

and
$$
(S \circ T) \cap R^{-1} = \emptyset \iff \forall x, y, z \in X : (x, y) \notin S \text{ or } (y, z) \notin T \text{ or } (z, x) \notin R
$$
 (6)

As we can see, the intersections form a ring-like relationship between the sets' tuples $(..., R, S, T, R,...)$. After some variable reordering, we see that both intersections are empty iff there is no ring-like relationship between any tuples of the three sets. \Box